App F. IX 4

1926.

File No. 211-26

Previous References
Regl 27-22-2,4
Mis 9-2-26

(Miscl)

Serial Nos. 1—6

Preserved

Later References
Misc 221-28-1-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for March 1927

SUBJECT

Accepts the nomination of Vedamurti Dwaipayanachar to the Sri Sripada Swamy mutt at Mulbagal.

G.O. No. 1669-70 Dated 5.3.1927

To the Secy. Com[illegible]

Mur 1]

1926-27

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Mur+

NOTES.

File No. 21-26

FILE HEADING.

Succession to the Nadarajah Samii
matt of Mulbagal.

From D. S. Gurumurthy Proctor

No Telegram Dated 14.1.27 Received

Diary No. 964	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Stating that the Sovereignty of Nadarajah Swamy matt is held jointly by Sri Nadarajah Swamy & Mr. Somasundaram, his son in law & that Mr. Somasundaram is managing the affairs of the

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—3PB—500—9 8 22

(2

I.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mar 24—26

D. 964

Telegram dt 14.1.27 fm
D.S Guruswamy Rao
of Erode
intimating that the Govt
of the Independency Govt
Mail (or Madras Mail
Swarajya) proposes to
giving Account of
Dwarapeyanacheriya
the Govt case in Law
and
Objects to this being
done. Further Comm:
will be awaited in the
matter. This Telegram
may be sent to the A.C.
for such action as may
be deemed desirable.

A - may be done.

19.1.27

(Initials)

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted o this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

WD 01229—GPB—37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

MW—File No. 211 — 26 of 192

Serial No.

2 D N° 984

From the Mag Conr. N. C 1041 & 19.1.27
stating that the Sannyasin of the Sri Padmanageswara matt has written that he intends inducting Mr. Dwaipayanachar into Sanyasa Asrama with a view to succeed him to the Pitha. that further enquiry has been called for from the Dy Conr & that a further report will be subd.

Second member's order
3 further report may be awaited.
Dy
20.1.27

4 This will be placed in the nos & the further report promised will be awaited

By
21.1.27

5 Dr
Dy

3. From, The Private Secretary to H H the Maharaja.
D N no. 1374 dy 22. 1. 1927. (rect in M. 1/1927).
Forwards for disposal, memorial from the Residents of Erode Taluk regarding the Succession to Sripadaraya Swamy matt.
Against Ved. Dwaipayanachar - The Swamy's nominee - The following complaints

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

Complaints are made: —

(1) He is wanting in tact and capacity and as a Sub Registrar, depends mainly upon his clerks. A perusal of the late Dewan's Inspection note of the Kolar District is solicited. He was the secretary of "ಶ್ರಾದ್ಧ ಮತ್ತು ಅಮಾವಾಸ್ಯೆ". Condemned by the public. His Son Ved. Gopinathachar is too young to become a Sanyasi. The opinion of the general public is against their succession.

(2) The present Swamy's sons in Purnasrama have misappropriated the Mutt money for some 20 years past, and it is to their interest to have either the one or the other of the said settlement.

(3) There is Shastraic objection to Ved. Dwaipayanachar's succession. His father and grand-father were the previous Swamys of the Mutt, and if Dwaipayanachar also becomes a Swamy, his Son Ved Gopinathachar cannot perform "ಶ್ರಾದ್ಧ" which is unshastraic. A consultation of the Pandits is solicited on the point.

It is stated that a Pandit by name Ved. Hebbani Ramanuchar has obtained ಶ್ರಾದ್ಧ at Erode under

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

The titular name of Sri "Preksha Vidhi and that the present Swamy Sri "Medha Vidhi" though willing, is not, by reason of a position to hand over Asrama to him owing the evil influences brought to bear upon him; and the present Swamy's guru, while alive could not hand over Asrama to him, though he was competent for the place, on account of Ramanuja Vidhi's young age - May pray that all the 3 refd to may be examined, and the best candidate may be selected for the Peetah.

May add that the old Swamy is making preparations for handing over Asrama, and pray for a prohibitory order pending disposal of the petition by Govt.

May the present petition be forwarded for report - with ref to the D. C's letter promising further report in the matter of orders solicited - ? Secretariat to be heard

AS 25.1.27. The telegram received & the letter received subsequently against the appln of Vid: Vinayaka Anapuram to the Mutt may be forwarded to the Mag Coll for his further report as early as possible - the

NOTE—Contn Sheet

100 ~

WD 01229—GPB —37,500—1-9-26

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mwr File No. 211 - 26 of 192

Serial No.



Matter as promised in her letter no C 1041 dt 19.1.27.
Diary + necd
BG
25.1.27 Issue
26/1/27

4 By the Muzrai Commissioner, letter no. 1373 dt 26.1.1927. Forwards complaint petitions from Sura Rao and others in the matter, and requests that the submission of the report called for in the matter, may be expedited:

5 From the Mwr Comr. No. C.1041 dt 2.2.27 Recommending that Ved. Dwarapagana Chari may be appointed successor to the present Dharmajalam of the Sri Parthasarathy Swami Matt at Triplicane.
In the Mwr Comr's letter (P.1 2) explains the relative merits of Ved Dwarapagana Chari and Ved. Ramanuja Chari (who has recently become a sanyasi) and states that Ved. Dwarapagana Chari is quite fit for the place of the High Priest of the Matt in all respects. Orders are solicited whether the nomination of Ved Dwarapagana Chari by the Mercl

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

1073

WD 01228—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mov File No 21—26 of 192

Serial No.

With Brief of the matter & the Pita may be recognised.

RB
3/2/27

Mr As the present nomination is for nominating Vedamanta Dwaipayana Char and there seems to be no serious objection to the selection I see Mr Court's recommendation that this gentleman selection may be approved may be saved

MD
3/L

Hobey, Para 6 of the Hy Commr's letter may be accepted.
2nd member
h
7.2.27

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
FEB.
No. 318

OFFICIAL NOTE
SECOND MEMBER'S COUNCIL.
4 FEB. 1927
No. 5293

3286
5/2.

I think the view taken by the Muzrai Commissioner is correct and the recommendation made by him may be approved. There are two petitions against the nomination of Ved: Dwayapayanachary. Both are from Erode. The one is signed by two gentlemen who have affixed their signatures to the other petition as well. Neither of these petitions has anything to say against the character and learning of Ved: Dwayapayanachar. The only objection of

NOTE Contn.Sheet

Serial No.

of any importance is that if he is ordained into sanyasa, three generations of his family in succession would be sanyasis —his father and grandfather having also been sanyasis —and Ved. Dwaya payanachar's family would loose shradda rites. This is altogether a religious point and I do not think we need concern ourselves with it. The present Swami, as observed by the Muzrai Commissioner, is very aged and experienced and Ved. Dwayapayanachar himself is a religiously inclined man and if both of them consider that there is not much force in this objection or that it can be met in some shastric way, we need not consider it a bar to the approval by Government of the nomination proposed by the present Swami.

Confidential enquiries made by the Sub-Division Officer at Mulbagal show that Ved.Dwayapayanachar is a gentleman of high attainments and of saintly life and as the choice of the present Swami has fallen upon him, I think we may consider him as well-qualified for the place and approve of the nomination.

Allegation is made in the two petitions that Ved. Dwayapanayachar's record of service as Sub-Registrar was unsatisfactory. The connected file is put up. It will be seen from this file that Ved. Dwayapayanachar's faults were his carelessness and incompetency to perform the duties of his office. There was no allegation again

2 7 211 — 19.26

Final Note by the Second Member of Council. 10

—◆—

Subject:—

Nomination of Ved. Dwayapayanachar to the Sri Sripadaraya
a at Mulbagal.

—◆—

The Swamigalu of the Matha has intimated that on account old age, he intends giving asrama to Ved. Dwayapayanachar, son nis Guru in purvasrama and requests approval thereto. Objection been taken to this nomination by some residents of Erode on ground that if Ved. Dwayapayanachar is ordained into sanyasa, ee successive generations of his family would be sanyasis —— father and grand-father also having been sanyasis —— and t the family would thus lose shradda rites. This is purely a igious matter and Government need not concern themselves with As observed by the Muzrai Commissioner, the present Swami very aged and experienced, and the nominee also is a religious-inclined man, and if both of them consider that there is not h force in this objection or that it can be met in some shastric Government need not consider it a bar to the appointment of latter.

" The selected candidate is a graduate and a retired Sub-istrar and confidential enquiries made by the Sub-Division Offi-, Mulbagal, show that he is a gentleman of high attainments and saintly life. It is difficult to get eligible persons to b e ointed as heads of mathas and the nomination made by the present mi alu is considered acceptable by the Dewan and myself and it graciously approved.

alore,
ary 1927

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Seri No.

his character; on the other hand, the Inspector-General of Registration observed that Ved. Dwayapayanachar's "indifference to office work was due to his devoting much of his time and attention to study of his religion."

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
7. FEB. 1927
Reg. No. 5870

17 One other objection mentioned in the petitions is that if Ved. Dwayapayanachar is selected the peeta would again be occupied by a member of the same family, he being the son-in-law of the present Swami, and that the funds of the Institution would be diverted for the benefit of the family. The Muzrai Commissioner observes in this connection that the present Swami has, with the aid of his sons, built up a reputation for g o o d management and has recovered to the Mutt many a ~~large~~ lost property at heavy cost and ~~at~~ heavier litigation. It is difficult to get eligible persons to be appointed as Swamis of Mutts and all things considered, the nomination made by the present Swami is, I think, acceptable and it may be approved.

Dewan)

5/2/27

I agree

7.2.27

Second Member)

F.N. signed by the Member is put up.

8/2/27 Dewan)

SECRETARIAT
32

8 FEB. 1927
No. 5366

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
9. FEB. 1927
5948

Subject:—

Nomination of Ved. Dwayapayanachar to the Sri Sripadaraya Mahasat Mulbagal.

2) The Swamigalu of the Matha has intimated that on account of old age, he intends giving asrama to Ved. Dwayapayanachar, son of his Guru in purvasrama and requests approval thereto. Objection has been taken to this nomination by some residents of Erode on the ground that if Ved. Dwayapayanachar is ordained into sanyasa, three successive generations of his family would be sanyasis —— his father and grand-father also having been sanyasis —— and that the family would thus lose shradda rites. This is purely a religious matter and Government need not concern themselves with it. As observed by the Muzrai Commissioner, the present Swami is very aged and experienced, and the nominee also is a religiously inclined man, and if both of them consider that there is not much force in this objection or that it can be met in some shastric way, Government need not consider it a bar to the appointment of the latter.

The selected candidate is a graduate and a retired Sub-Registrar and confidential enquiries made by the Sub-Division Officer, Mulbagal, show that he is a gentleman of high attainments and of saintly life. It is difficult to get eligible persons to be appointed as heads of mathas and the nomination made by the present Swamigalu is considered acceptable by the Dewan and myself and it may be graciously approved.

Bangalore,
8th February 1927.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

2-221-26

11

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the SECOND Member of Council on the subject of the nomination of Ved. Dwayapayanachar to the Sri Sripadaraya Swami Mutt at Mulbagal for His Highness' gracious approval.

BANGALORE,
9th February 1927.

K. Chandy
FOR Dewan.

STATEMENT OF CASES SUBMITTED FOR THE ORDERS OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA DURING THE TOUR OUTSIDE THE STATE IN FEBRUARY 1927

Serial No.	Subject	Orders of His Highness	Order regarding submission of files (*vide* foot-note)
674	Nomination of Ved. Dwayapayanachar to the Sri Sripadaraya Swami Mutt at Mulbagal.	Approved by His Highness h' hm m bmachm 16/4/27 gwalamuthi	C

C. Secy
Fin Secy
26/2

Revd. 2. 211-26

3913/26/2

5807/25/26

NOTE.—The letter a, b or c may kindly be inserted by the Private Secretary to His Highness in the last column to indicate whether
File may be submitted at once .. a
File may be submitted after His Highness' return .. b
File may not be submitted at all c

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 211 of 1926

Serial No.

24 Dft. G.O. accepting the nomination is submitted.

AV 1.3.27 HK 1.3.27

30/Secy mw 2/3

6. To,
Secy Coorgs & Comptr
No 1669-70 dt 5.3.27
Approves of the nomination made & the Commissioner sent mail

B

No. 1339



OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY

TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 18-1- 1927.



D964

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department, Bangalore, for disposal

Mg 211-26-1

By Order,

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 548 of 1926-27

3

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore,.....18th...January.....1927

FROM

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to send herewith copy of Srimukha dated ೦೬ನೆ ಮಾಘ ನಂ೦ವತ್ಸರದ ಪೌ॥ 14॥ from the Swamigalu of the Sri Sripadarayaswami Matha at Mulbagal intimating that on account of old age he intends --- giving Sanyasa to Vedamurti Lakshmipayanacharya, son of his Guru Sri---------- Purushottama, and requesting approval thereto as his nominee is fully --- qualified by education, piety and devotion to manage the affairs of the Institution.

The candidate now selected by the Swamigalu is a graduate and a retired Sub-Registrar of Mysore Service. He is well read in Sanskrit and there seems to be no objection to accept his nomination. But to be on safer side, the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District has been requested to make an immediate report after a confidential enquiry --- locally made about the fitness of the candidate to occupy the Peeta and whether his nomination may be accepted. The report will be submitted as soon as it is received by me.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer
Muzrai Commissioner.

AFP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT. 17

....

42430

No. 1374
T

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Mysore 22.1.1927.





D 1002

Petition, as shown below, of His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department, Bangalore, for disposal

Memo 2-11-26-3

By Order,

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

No. 537 of 1926-27

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 28-1-27

Signed by Mr J Singara Velu Mudaliar

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section Imor

File No. 24-26

Seria No. 4

No. 1373 Enc 3.

To The Imor: Govt

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action a telegram No 40 dated 25th and 2 copies of Acts 8 & 14 of 1927 received from D.S. from Rangoon and to say from Erode protesting against the appointment of Rev. Dunnagan (Baptist) to the Taluk of Thiruchengodu in preference to Mr Arulanandam

over

As requested that
the report promised
in your letter no C 1041/926-27
of 19th Jan 1927 on the
subject may be sent
up as early as possible

Jinarese

h
26.1.27
Sec?
M&Z.

EL
25

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, 31st January 1927.

FROM

C.S. Balasubramanyam, Ind., B.
MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT GEN. & REGISTRY BR. 3 FEB 1927 MUZRAI D 1073

To

[illegible]

Mis 211-26

SIR,

[illegible]

2. [illegible] head of a [illegible]

3. [illegible] A [illegible] Archaka at a Brahmanical [illegible]

4. [illegible]

the affairs of the Matha are sure to be mismanaged diverted to pay off his own debts. Hence his name cannot in connection with a successor to the Matt.. The present with the aid of his sons in purvasrama has built up a reputation good management and has recovered to the matha many a lost propert at a heavy cost and heavier litigation. His nomination should, think, receive foremost consideration.

5. As regards other technical objection raised, i.e., the extenctio of Shudra rights to Ved. Dwayapayana Chari's family, I am of opinion th Government need not concern itself with this question. The Swamigalu who is very aged and experienced and Ved. Dwayapayana char who is well versed in Sastras may be expected to solve the problem to themselves and find out a way if the objection is a valid one. I am further of opinion that there is no need to consult the Sisnyas outside Mysore, as in this particular instance the presiding Swamigalu has exercised the inherent right vested in him and has chosen person to succeed him, against whom no valid objections seem to exist.

6. For these reasons, I beg to recommend that the nomination made by the Swamigalu may be accepted and the fact communicated to the Swamigalu. Orders recognising Ved. Dwayapayanachar's succession to the Peetha after the demise of Sri Medhanidhi Swamigalu of the Sri Sripalarayaswami Matha may issue in due course.

7. As the Swamigalu seems to be in indifferent health, the favour of early orders is solicited.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

SS

Copy of the letter dated 27th January 1997, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, addressed to the Tuzrat Court

---

With reference to your official numeral No.C.1041/ - 7 of the 18th instant, forwarding for information a copy of your letter No.C. 1041/96-97 dated 23-1-97 to the Financial Secretary to the Government [illegible] as well as a copy of the Schedule extracted from the Schedule of the Sri Srinivasaraja Mutt at Mulbagal Circle and [illegible] agrama to Veigandi Dwelling [illegible] occupy the Peeta, I have the honour to [illegible] Rao, Sub-Division Officer, Kolar, to [illegible] matter and to report the result of his inquiry. I enclose herewith for perusal a copy of the report in this regard. On the receipt of the above, I am of opinion that the question of [illegible] Villages in Bangalore City in their rights in the matter / reference to the Collector of that District to ascertain the wishes It is further requested that [illegible] Coimbatore [illegible] and if possible, a final will be made regarding the [illegible]. Further information will also

"Copy"

for Tuzrat Court

Report Dated 21-1-1907 from the Sub-Divisional Officer, Kolar to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

In obedience to orders I proceeded to Mulbagal this morning and held confidential enquiries. It is agreed on all hands that Vedamurthi Dwaipayanacharya is a deep and versatile scholar and by the exemplary life he has led all along entirely deserves to be elevated to the headship of the Mutt. Besides being the son-in-law of the present Sri Swamigalu Vedamurthi Dwaipayanacharya is also the son of the late Sri Swamigalavaru and he stood next of kin to the deceased. This close association with the Mutt combined with his attainments and saintly life makes the nominee no doubt a very fit and able successor to the present Sri Swamigalu.

The objections to Vedamurthi Dwaipayanacharya's nomination are two, viz., that there is one Vedamurthi Ramanacharya, a cousin of the present Sri Swamigalu who by reason of his more learning and higher attainments deserves the place in preference to Vedamurthi Dwaipayanacharya. It is also said that the said Vedamurthi Ramanacharya ought to have been at the head of the Mutt already in place of the present Sri Swamigalu. This Vedamurthi Ramanacharya is also said to have taken an Sanyasa recently. The second objection - a technical one - is that the nomination of Ved. Dwaipayana would involve the extinction of the Shrotriem rights of his son which amounts to be of a serious nature. Whether the technical objection is valid or not can be decided by a reference to a committee of religious men.

The majority of the Hindus of the Mutt are in South India beyond the limits of the province. Mulbagal itself contains a few, and I suggest that the present nomination on the technical ground of Shrotriem be set aside. Otherwise the present nomination will be confirmed by a majority of the Hindus if not by all of them. A copy of the proceedings of the meeting is returned.

"Copy"

Yours &c.
Sd/- for Municipal Commissioner.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
27 JAN 1927
No. M 2997

27 MUZRAI

The 2nd member of Council

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
27 JAN. 1927
No. 2997

ಈ ರೋಡು ಮಹಾಜನಗಳು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿ.

ಕೋಲಾರ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಮುಳುಬಾಗಲು ತ್ರಿ ಪಂದಾಯಿತಿಗೆ ಮರಾಧಿ ಪಕ್ಕಿಗಳ್ಳಿ ಮೇಧಾವಿಗಳ್ಳಿ ಮಿಗಳ್ಳು ಕೆಲವು ದಿನಗಳ್ಳಿಂದ ಸಂಚಾರ ಪ್ರಮುಖವಿಂದ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಂದು ವಾರದುಕಿಂಗಳ್ಳ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದರು ನಾವುಗಳು ನೋಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮತದಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಕೇವಲವೀನವಾಗಿದೆ ನೀವು ಮುಂದೆಯೂ ರಿಗೆ ಆಸ್ತಿಪತ್ರ ಹಿಂದುಪಲುದ್ದೇಶವಾಗಿ ಕಿಂಚಿತ್ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಾವಿ ಅಳ್ಳಂಬಂದಂದ ದ್ಯಂಬಂ ಕಾಂಬಂ ನಾಂಚಾರ್ಯಾಗಂಡ ಗಾಂಡಿದ ಮೀಂ ಮೈನ್ಯಳ್ಳಿಂದ ಗೋಂಡಿ ಕಾಂದ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯಕಿಗಾಂದರೂಂ ಆಸ್ತಿನು ಹಿಂದುವಗಂಗಾ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರು. ಈಗ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಸರಕ್ಷಿತರಲ್ಲಿ ದ್ಯಂಬಂ ಕಾಂಚಾರ್ಯಂಗೆ ಆಸ್ತಿಪತ್ರ ಹಿಂಡಬೇಕೆಂತ ಇದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಿ ಹಿಂಡಬೇಕೆಂತ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರು ಎಂದೆ ವರ್ತಮಾನ ತಿಳಿಯಿತು

ದ್ಯಂಬಂ ಕಾಂಬಂ ನಾಂಚಾರ್ಯಂಗೆ ಆಸ್ತಿಪತ್ರ ಹಿಂಡುವಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಾಗಿಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲ. ದ್ಯಂಬಂ ಕಾಂಚಾರ್ಯಂಗೆ ಆಸ್ತಿಪತ್ರ ಹಿಂದುವಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಬಂ ಕಾಂಬಂ ಕಾಂಬಂ

F.

POSTS TELEGRAPHS





NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
~~Erode~~	14	11	5	D964	32
TO				Recd. here at 12 52	M.

H H maharaja of mysore

Sir I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your telegram addressed to the Maharaja and to say in reply that your telegram will be laid before His Highness on his return

N B —The name of the Sender if telegraphed is written after the text.

Karim Buy Bros —Printers—Cal —577—22 5 25—1,00,000 Bks.

OS S

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
Rs.	As.	

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
				Recd. here at H.	M.

TO

maharajen follows.

D S

N.B.—The name of the Sender if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Karim Bux Bros.—Printers—Cal —577—22 5 25—1,00,000 Bks.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 1073

Muzrai Secretariat
(Mur)
the 3 192 .

Date of issue .. 3-2-27

Signed by.....

SECTION Mur
FILE No. 211-26
SERIAL No. 64.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter no C 1041 dy 31-1-1927 from the Muzrai Comr in Mysore in the matter of the Succession to the Sri Sripadaraya Swamy Matt at Mulbagal.

Order Thereon No.

The Swamigalu of the Matha has intimated that on account of old age, he intends giving Asrama to Ved. Dwarakanyacharya

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

SD
4.3.27

son of his Guru in
purnasrama, and requests
approval thereto. The Inam.
Comr who was consulted
in the matter, reports
that the ~~Selected Candidate~~ Ved. Dharma
is a graduate, and a
retired ~~Sub. Registrar~~ Govt.
and ~~that enquiries made~~
~~by the~~ Sub Divn officer,
~~Nuthagal~~ ~~Show that the~~
to | from enquiries made appears to be
a gentleman of high
attainments and ~~of~~ Kindly
life, and ~~adds that there~~ recommends
his nomination to the
~~is no Statutory objection~~
Pitadhipati
~~to his proceeding to the~~
Pitadhi.

List of enclosures.

Under the circumstances
reported, Govt are
pleased to accept the
nomination of Ved.
Dharmapayanacharyulu
made by the Swamigalu
of Sripadaraya Swamy

Copied by Vasudeva Successor

Examined by

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7-22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Male.

Pw 2/3 DM) Finl. Secretary.

To HM 1.3.27

Mr Muz — Comptr

Secrig. ends.

3 Comptr

WD 2330—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20